**Peer review sheet**

MAFS6010Z, 2021 fall

Your name and sid: 20746131 yangyannan

Group that you review:

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Confidence on your assessment (1-3) | Clarity and quality of writing (1-5) | Technical quality  (1-5) | Overall rating  (1-5) |
| Score | 2 | 4 | 5 | 4 |

Summary:

The writing is smooth enough for readers to read. The Technical quality is high. Therefore, I suppose that they deserve overall rating 4 points.

Strengths:

The graph in their report are clear. Their data visualization ability is very strong

Weaknesses:

They did not state the methodology first before the model construction.

Clarity and writing:

The sentences in their report are precise and fluent. There are no grammatical errors in their report.

Technical quality:

They output their model based on the model principles. Therefore, their results are well-founded.